.		THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		TES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF WASHINGTON
8		
9	TODD R., SUZANNE R., and LILLIAN I	
10	Plaintiffs,	) No. 2:17-cv-01041-JLF
11		) AMENDED COMPLAINT
	V.	)
12	PREMERA BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIEL OF ALASKA,	D )
13		)
14	Defendant.	)
15		
16	Plaintiffs Todd R. ("Todd"), Suzan	ne R. ("Suzanne") and Lillian R. ("Lillian"), through
17	their undersigned counsel, complain and a	llege against Defendant Premera Blue Cross Blue
18	Shield of Alaska ("PBC") as follows:	
19	PARTIES,	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
20	1. Todd, Suzanne, and Lillian <sup>1</sup> are	e natural persons residing in the Matanuska-
21	Susitna Borough, Alaska. Tod	d and Suzanne are Lillian's parents.
22	2. Todd is the owner of a compan	y that provides a number of benefits for its
23	employees including, but not li	mited to, a group health benefits plan ("the
24		
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> Lillian was formerly known as Jonathan R. and is medical records associated with the claim at issue	
27	pleadings.	BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	AMENDED COMPLAINT NO. 2:17-cv-01041-JLR	420 E. South Temple, Suite 420 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 532-1739, (801) 532-1936 (fax)

1	I	
1		Plan"). Todd is a participant in the Plan and Suzanne and Lillian are
2		beneficiaries of the Plan.
3	3.	PBC is an insurance company and is the insurer for the Plan.
4	4.	PBC does business in Utah through Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Utah
		("Regence"), the local Blue Cross Blue Shield affiliate. PBC utilized a preferred
5		provider contract between the health care provider and Regence when paying benefits
6		for the medical care at issue in this case.
7	5.	The Plan is a fully insured employee welfare benefits plan under 29 U.S.C.
8		§1001 et. seq., of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974
9		("ERISA").
10	6.	Lillian received medical care and treatment at Island View Residential Treatment
11		Center ("IVRTC"), a residential treatment facility in Davis County, State of Utah.
12		IVRTC (now known as Elevations) is a licensed and accredited health care provider
13		in the State of Utah and provides residential treatment for adolescents with mental
14		health conditions.
15	7.	This Court has jurisdiction over this case under 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(1) and 28 U.S.C.
16		§1331.
17	8.	Venue is appropriate under 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2) and 28 U.S.C. §1391(c)
18		because the medical treatment at issue in this case was provided in the State of
19		Utah, the Defendants do business in the State of Utah, and Todd and
20		Suzanne's financial obligations to Lillian's healthcare providers were incurred
21		in the State of Utah. In addition, in light of the sensitive nature of the
22		treatment provided to Lillian, it is the Plaintiffs' wish to bring the case in Utah
23		to protect Lillian's identity. Based on ERISA's nationwide service of process
24		provision and 28 U.S.C. §1391, venue is appropriate in the State of Utah.
25	9.	The remedies the Plaintiffs seek under the terms of ERISA, the terms of the
26		Plan, and pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B), are for the benefits due for
27		BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	AMENDED ( NO. 2:17-cv	COMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420

1		Lillian's medical care, for an award of prejudgment interest pursuant to
2		U.C.A. §15-1-1, and for an award of attorney fees and costs pursuant to 29
3		U.S.C. §1132(g).
4		Background Facts
	10.	Lillian was a full term baby and developed normally. She was in the gifted
5		program at her school and was happy and well-liked. She was a gifted artist.
6	11.	When she was eleven years old, Lillian went to summer camp and returned
7		exhausted and experiencing constant headaches. She was ultimately
8		diagnosed with mononucleosis and her symptoms resolved.
9	12.	A couple of months later, Lillian had the flu and an infection. Her chronic
10		daily headaches returned.
11	13.	Lillian was seen and evaluated by numerous doctors without successful
12		resolution of her symptoms. Todd and Suzanne took Lillian to Seattle for
13		evaluation at the Children's Hospital where she had a number of biofeedback
14		sessions.
15	14.	Lillian became anxious and depressed and withdrew from her friends. Over
16		the next months, there were several trips to Seattle but no diagnosis or
17		treatment were found. Lillian's condition deteriorated and she became
18		frustrated and angry.
19	15.	A nerve block was tried for Lillian and she had a very bad reaction to the
20		anesthetic. In spite of the fact that she was uncontrollably vomiting, hospital
21		staff insisted on completing the procedure. Lillian was so upset and
22		traumatized by this experience that she began experiencing auditory and
23		visual hallucinations. She was terrified.
24	16.	Lillian was diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder and began taking
25		Sertraline, a psychiatric medication. Her symptoms gradually abated but the
26		headaches, while less intense, continued.
27		BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law

2		Pediatric Pain Clinic. She was inpatient for two weeks and outpatient for one
3		week. A doctor there said that he believed the headaches were related to
4		tension.
	18.	Sometime soon thereafter, Lillian and a friend bumped their heads together
5		accidentally, resulting in much more severe headaches for Lillian. She went
6		to the emergency room for treatment.
7	19.	Lillian's anxiety and depression continued to worsen. Her great aunt died in
8		an aircraft accident and just a few months later, Lillian's younger sister was
9		diagnosed with Wilm's Tumor, a cancer condition requiring radiation and
10		chemotherapy. Lillian was completely overwhelmed and became more and
11		more isolated.
12	20.	The one exception to Lillian's isolation was her girlfriend. If she could not be
13		with her or talk to her constantly, she would cry inconsolably.
14	21.	Lillian ran away from home and it took two days for the police and her family
15		to find her.
16	22.	Lillian was becoming more actively hostile and defiant and injured Suzanne's
17		arm when she threw a phone at her.
18	23.	Lillian ran away again. After she was located at her girlfriend's home and
19		brought home, she continued to be hostile and defiant. She told her girlfriend
20		that she was going to hurt herself and Todd and Suzanne took her to the
21		hospital.
22	24.	Suzanne was closely watching Lillian day and night to ensure that she didn't
23		do anything to herself. She discovered that she was cutting herself on her
24		arms.
25	25.	Lillian began dressing in girls' clothing and insisted that she was transgender.
26		
27		BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	AMENDED COMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420 NO. 2:17-cv-01041-JLR Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 532-1739, (801) 532-1936 (fax	

In 2012, when Lillian was 14, she was evaluated at the Cleveland Clinic's

17.

1	26.	After Lillian refused to go on a family vacation, Suzanne and her daughters
2		went on the trip and Todd stayed home with Lillian. Todd took Lillian to the
3		hospital for an evaluation. The hospital recommended admission to
4		Providence's Crisis Recovery Center. When asked why she needed to go,
		Lillian said it was because her parents would not accept her.
5	27.	Lillian continued to refuse treatment and medication. At one point, she
6		jumped out of the car in traffic.
7	28.	Lillian was transported by an escort company to Utah and was admitted at
8		IVRTC on December 31, 2013.
9	29.	Lillian's initial diagnoses were:
10		
11		AXIS I: Post Traumatic Stress Disorder; Major Depressive Disorder, Recurrent, Moderate (in the past, severe with possible
12		psychotic features), Parent Child Relational Problem; Academic Problem; Rule out Eating Disorder, NOS (restrictive type); Rule
13		out Anxiety Disorder (possible OCD symptoms prior to medical illness onset)
14		
15		AXIS II: No diagnosis [SEP]
16		AXIS III: New Daily Persistent Headache (previously diagnosed as tension headaches) with unreliable pain control history;
17		intermittent pattern of appetite restriction with a goal to lose weight (currently noted to be of slim build already)
18		
19		AXIS IV: Significant family stressors, including interplay of sibling illness (cancer) with Lillian's recurrent headaches, which
20		are improved but not resolved, decline in academic standing, enmeshment with girlfriend and associated gender identity
21		diffusion
22		AXIS V: Current GAF: 36 <sup>2</sup> Previous Year GAF: 40
23		
24		
25	assess the ove	lobal assessment of functioning, was developed as a tool for mental healthcare providers to erall level of function and ability to carry out activities of daily living for their patients. There
26	on the child's	scale utilized when the patient is a child or an adolescent as opposed to an adult. A GAF of 36 global assessment scale indicates: "Major impairment in functioning in several areas and
27	unable to fund	ction in one of these areas, i.e. disturbed at home, at school, with peers, or in the society at BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	AMENDED CO	OMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420

(801) 532-1739, (801) 532-1936 (fax)

1	30.	Elinal was extremely resistant to treatment and it took quite some time for her
2		therapists to find interventions that were helpful for her. However, ultimately
3		she progressed at IVRTC and was discharged to a therapeutic boarding school
4		for additional treatment on June 21, 2015.
5 6 7	31.	Claims were submitted to PBC for coverage of Lillian's medical expenses but PBC denial the claims for treatment after April 30, 2014 on the basis that Lillian's conditions did not meet Milliman Care Guidelines ("MCG") for residential treatment after that date. The date of PBC's denial is November
8		18, 2014.
10 11 12 13	32.	Todd and Suzanne appealed the denial on May 13, 2015, and argued that the MCG were not available to them, but based on PBC's citations to the MCG, those guidelines were not reflective of generally accepted standards of care, a requirement of the Plan.
14 15 16	33.	They provided a detailed chronology of Lillian's deterioration and argued that, based on standards of care, her residential treatment was necessary.
17 18	34.	PBC upheld its denial on June 16, 2015, asserting that appeals for treatment provided between May 1, 2014 and August 31, 2014 were untimely because
19 20		they were not submitted within 180 days of the denial of coverage. PBC's letter stated that the claims had been processed on December 5, 2014.
21 22 23	35.	There are 159 days between December 5, 2014, the date the claims were processed and denied, and May 13, 2015, when Todd and Suzanne appealed
24 25	_	
26 27	either mood require spec	persistent aggression without clear instigation; markedly withdrawn and isolated behavior due to or thought disturbance, suicidal attempts with clear lethal intent. Such children are likely to ial schooling and/or hospitalization or withdrawal from school (but this is not a sufficient inclusion in this category." in Psychiatric Measures, APA, Washington DC, 2000  BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	AMENDED NO. 2:17-cv	COMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420

1		the denial.	
2	36.	As for treatment provided after August 31,	2014 PRC again asserted that
3	30.	Lillian's conditions did not meet the MCG to	-
4		Liman's conditions did not inect the Wed I	of residential treatment.
	37.	Todd and Suzanne appealed again on Augu	st 10, 2015 and PBC maintained
5		its denial on September 10, 2015. PBC asse	erted that the claim had been
6		reviewed by a medical director board certification	ied in internal medicine, a
7		"member contracts operations manager," an	d a "new group and product
8		implementation manager."	
9	38.	Todd and Suzanne requested an external re-	view on December 18, 2015 and
10		the external reviewer, "mcmc," upheld PBC	·
11		other, less intensive levels of care that would	_
12		Lillian.	
13			
14	39.	Todd and Suzanne exhausted their appeal o	bligations under the terms of the
15		Plan and ERISA.	
16	40.	PBC's denial has harmed the Plaintiffs in th	at they have been required to pay
17		out-of-pocket for Lillian's treatment in an a	mount exceeding \$160,000.
18		CAUSE OF ACTION CAU	SE OF ACTION
19		(Claim for Recovery of Benefits Under 29	
20	1.	ERISA imposes higher-than-marketplace quality	uality standards on insurers. It sets
21		forth a special standard of care upon a plan	fiduciaries such as PBC, acting as
22		agent and insurer of the Plan, to "discharge	[its] duties in respect to claims
23		processing solely in the interests of the part	icipants and beneficiaries" of the
24		Plan. 29 U.S.C. §1104(a)(1).	
25			
26			
27	41451555		IAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	8 AMENDED COMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 532-1739, (801) 532-1936 (fa		lt Lake City, UT 84111

1	2.	ERISA also underscores the particular importance of accurate claims
2		processing and evaluation by requiring that administrators provide a "full and
3		fair review" of claim denials. 29 U.S.C. §1133(2).
4	3.	PBC breached its fiduciary duties to the Plaintiffs when it failed to comply
		with its obligations under 29 U.S.C. §1104 and 29 U.S.C. §1133 to act solely
5		in the Plaintiffs' interest and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to
6		ERISA participants and beneficiaries and to provide a full and fair review of
7		the Plaintiffs' claims.
8	4.	The actions of PBC in failing to provide coverage for Lillian's medically
9		necessary treatment at IVRTC are a violation of the terms of the Plan and
10		generally accepted standards of care.
11	5.	The actions of PBC, in failing to identify the first reviewer(s) and in failing to
12		have qualified clinical practitioners review the final claim, are violations of
13		ERISA and its claims processing regulations.
14	6.	The actions of PBC, as outlined above, have caused damage to the Plaintiffs
15		in the form of denial of payment for medical services rendered to Lillian in an
16		amount exceeding \$160,000.
17	7.	PBC is responsible to pay Lillian's medical expenses as benefits due under the
18		terms of the Plan together with prejudgment interest pursuant to U.C.A. §15-
19		1-1, attorney fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g).
20	WHE	REFORE, the Plaintiffs seek relief as follows:
21	1.	Judgment in the amount of \$161,381, the total amount that is owed for Lillian's
22		medically necessary treatment at IVRTC under the terms of the Plan and the
23		preferred provider contract between Regence and IVRTC, plus pre and post-
24		judgment interest to the date of payment;
25	2.	Attorney fees and costs incurred pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g); and
26	3.	For such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
27	ANAFNIDED	BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law

1			
2			
3			
4	DATED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of February.		
5	/s/ Brian S. King Brian S. King		
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs (admitted pro hac vice) 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420		
7	Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 532-1739		
8	(801) 532-1936 (fax)		
9			
10	John Walker Wood, WSBA 39120		
11	The Wood Law Firm, PLLC 800 Fifth Avenue Suite 4100		
12	Seattle, WA 98104		
13	(206) 650-0765 (206) 577-5380 fax		
14	john@woodfirm.com		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law		
28	AMENDED COMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420 NO. 2:17-cv-01041-JLR Salt Lake City, UT 84111		

420 E. South Temple, Suite 420 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 532-1739, (801) 532-1936 (fax)

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
3	Washington and the United States, that on the 31st day of October, 2018, the foregoing
4	document was presented to the Clerk of the Court for filing and uploading to the Court's
5	CM/ECF system. In accordance with the ECF registration agreement and the Court's
6	rules, the Clerk of the Court will send email notification of this filing to the following
7	attorney for the defendant:
8 9 10	Gwendolyn C. Payton Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3700 Seattle, WA 98101 gpayton@kilpatricktownsend.com
11	DATED: February 8, 2021.
12	
13 14	s/ John Walker Wood John Walker Wood (WSBA #39120)
15	The Wood Law Firm, PLLC 800 5 <sup>th</sup> Ave, Suite 4100
16	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 447-1342 (office)
17	(206) 577-5380 (fax) Email: john@woodfirm.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	BRIAN S. KING, Attorney at Law
28	AMENDED COMPLAINT 420 E. South Temple, Suite 420 NO. 2:17-cv-01041-JLR Salt Lake City, UT 84111

(801) 532-1739, (801) 532-1936 (fax)

28